# More on the Umbral Calculus, with Emphasis on the *q*-Umbral Calculus

### STEVEN ROMAN

Department of Mathematics, California State University, Fullerton, California 92634

Submitted by G.-C. Rota

The interrelationship between distinct umbral calculi is studied. These ideas are applied in particular to q-umbral calculus, which shows how Andrews' q-theory relates to the q-theory discussed in the previous paper by the author. The q-Hermite polynomials and basic hypergeometric series are briefly discussed. © 1985 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the study of the umbral calculus begun in [8] (see also [9, 10]). Some knowledge of Sections 1–5 and 11 of [8] would be helpful here.

Recall that for each sequence  $c_n$  of non-zero constants we defined a distinct umbral calculus, used in the study of polynomial sequences  $s_n(x)$  whose generating function has the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k(x)}{c_k} t^k = \frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} \varepsilon_x(\bar{f}(t))$$

where  $g(t) = g_0 + g_1 t + \cdots + (g_0 \neq 0)$ ,  $\bar{f}(t) = f_1 t + f_2 t^2 + \cdots + (f_1 \neq 0)$  and

$$\varepsilon_{x}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{c_{k}} t^{k}.$$

We called these sequences  $s_n(x)$  Sheffer sequences. In Section 11 of [8] we touched briefly on the q-umbral calculus, defined by

$$c_n = \frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)}{(1-q)^n}$$

Our objective in this paper is to begin studying the relationship between

distinct umbral calculi whose defining sequences  $c_n$  are related. We have restricted attention to the case  $\tilde{f}(t) = t$ .

The first step, in Section 2, is to extend slightly the notion of Sheffer sequence (for f(t) = t) to include sesquences  $r_n(x)$  for which

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_k(x)}{d_k} t^k = \frac{1}{g(t)} \varepsilon_x(t)$$
 (1.1)

where g(t) and  $\varepsilon_x(t)$  are as above. Notice that the sequence  $d_n$  on the left may be different from the sequence  $c_n$  used in defining  $\varepsilon_x(t)$ . While this extension is modest from one point of view, namely that  $(d_n/c_n) r_n(x)$  is Sheffer in the  $c_n$ -umbral calculus, it does serve a useful purpose. For example, the q-umbral calculus in [8] employs the identity

$$\varepsilon_{y}(t) \, s_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} \, s_{k}(x) \, s_{n-k}(y)$$

whereas Andrews' q-theory [3] employs the identity

$$s_n(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q s_k(x) y^k s_{n-k}(xy).$$

Clarification of the roles of these identities is made possible by the aforementioned extension (see Theorem 2.5). We call sequences  $r_n(x)$  satisfying (1.1) basic sequences, although this term is a bit overused.

In Section 3 we consider a class of operators whose importance in the umbral calculus was recognized by Andrews. In Section 4 we study the relationship between the exponential-type series  $\varepsilon_x(t)$  for different umbral calculi. It is this series which lies at the heart of the theory.

Sections 5–7 are devoted to the q-umbral calculus and related umbral calculi. The q-Hermite polynomials, among others, are placed in the context of the umbral calculus. Infinite products are discussed briefly. Heine's theorem [11, p. 92] is shown to be nothing but a generating function and the basic analog of Gauss' theorem [11, p. 97] is shown to be but a special case of a result from Section 4. Space limitations force us to postpone to a sequel a more detailed discussion of these umbral calculi or of others.

In Section 8 we discuss very briefly some additional expansion formulas, including Carlitz's q-analog of a special case of the Lagrange inversion formula.

We wish to express our indebtedness to Andrews' work [3]. The influence of his ideas is clearly visible in this paper.

## 2. BASIC RESULTS

A sequence  $c_n$  of constants is admissible if  $c_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . We shall use boldface type to denote admissible sequences—c for  $c_n$ , d for  $d_n$ , etc.

For each admissible sequence c we define linear functionals and operators, as in [8], by

$$\langle t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} \mid x^{n} \rangle = c_{n} \delta_{n,k},$$

$$t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} x^{n} = \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-k}} x^{n-k}, \qquad k \leq n,$$

$$= 0, \qquad n < k.$$

The subscript c is needed since we shall be dealing with more than one admissible sequence at a time.

We recall from [8] that the sequence  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $(g(t_c), t_c)$ , where g(t) is invertible, if and only if any one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (1)  $\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^k | s_n(x) \rangle = c_n \delta_{n,k},$
- (2)  $\langle g(t_c) | s_n(x) \rangle = c_0 \delta_{n,0}, \ t_c s_n(x) = (c_n/c_{n-1}) s_{n-1}(x),$
- (3)  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (s_k(x)/c_k) t^k = \varepsilon_{x,c}(t)/g(t), \text{ where } \varepsilon_{x,c}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x^k/c_k) t^k.$

Notice that (3) is a formal equation in the formal variables x and t and so there is no need for a subscript on t. We say that  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $t_c$  if it is Sheffer for  $(g(t_c), t_c)$  for some invertible g(t).

Let **c** and **d** be admissible sequences and let L be a linear functional for which  $\langle L \mid 1 \rangle \neq 0$ . We say that the sequence  $p_n(x)$  is the basic sequence for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$  if

- $(1) \quad \deg p_n(x) = n,$
- (2)  $\langle L \mid p_n(x) \rangle = d_0 \delta_{n,0}$ ,
- (3)  $t_{\mathfrak{s}} p_n(x) = (d_n/d_{n-1}) p_{n-1}(x).$

For each choice of sequence c the linear functional L has a series reresentation  $g_{L,c}(t_c) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \langle L \mid x^k \rangle / c_k \right] t_c^k$ . Thus as linear functionals  $L = g_{L,c}(t_c)$ . Note that  $g_{L,c}(t)$  is invertible since  $\langle L \mid 1 \rangle \neq 0$ .

The following theorem is immediate from the definitions.

THEOREM 2.1. The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$ ,
- (ii)  $s_n(x) = (c_n/d_n) p_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $(g_{L,c}(t_c), t_c)$ ,
- (iii)  $\langle g_{L,c}(t_c) t_c^k | p_n(x) \rangle = d_n \delta_{n,k}$ ,

(iv) 
$$p_n(x) = (d_n/c_n) g_{L,c}(t_c)^{-1} x^n$$
,

(v) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p_k(x)/d_k) t^k = \varepsilon_{y,c}(t)/g_{L,c}(t).$$

Part (iii) of Theorem 2.1 gives us a version of the Expansion Theorem and its important corollary.

THEOREM 2.2. If  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_n, \mathbf{d}, L)$  then

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle h(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid p_k(x) \rangle}{d_k} g_{L,\mathbf{c}}(t) t^k.$$

THEOREM 2.3. If  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$  then

$$p(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\langle g_{L,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | p(x) \rangle}{d_{k}} p_{k}(x).$$

A useful way to organize basic sequences is as follows. For each linear functional L satisfying  $\langle L \mid 1 \rangle \neq 0$  we define the L-table or L-matrix to be the matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the  $2^{\aleph_0}$  possible admissible sequences and whose  $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$  entry is the basic sequence for  $(t_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{d}, L)$ , which we may denote by  $B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}(L)$ . In L-table language, part (i) of Theorem 2.1 says that those entries of an L-table which are Sheffer sequences are precisely the diagonal entries  $B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}}(L)$ . According to part (ii) of this theorem, entries in the same row of an L-table are related in a simple manner. Borrowing notation from the old style umbral calculus we may write

$$B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{e}}(L) = \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{d}} B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}}(L).$$

More exactly, if  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{e}, L)$  and  $r_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$  then

$$p_n(x) = \frac{e_n}{d_n} r_n(x).$$

The columns of an L-table present more of a challenge than the rows. It is our intention to give an algebraic identity which characterizes these columns. We begin with a result of Andrews [3], in a slightly different form, which characterizes the operators  $t_c$ . Let  $\sigma_y$  be the linear operator on polynomials defined by

$$\sigma_y p(x) = p(xy).$$

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $\tau$  be a linear operator on polynomials. Then  $\tau = t_c$  for some admissible sequence c if and only if

$$\tau x^n \neq 0 \qquad all \ n > 0$$

$$\tau \sigma_v = y \sigma_v \tau$$

for all constants y.

*Proof.* One easily sees that  $t_c \sigma_y x^n = y \sigma_y t_c x^n$  and  $t_c x^n \neq 0$  for n > 0. For the converse, set  $\tau x^n = s_n(x)$ . Then  $y s_n(xy) = y \sigma_y s_n(x) = y \sigma_y \tau x^n = \tau \sigma_y x^n = y^n \tau x^n = y^n s_n(x)$ . Setting x = 1 and changing y to x gives

$$\tau x^n = s_n(x) = s_n(1) x^{n-1}, \quad n > 0,$$
  
 $\tau 1 = s_0(x) = 0.$ 

Since  $s_n(1) \neq 0$  (otherwise  $\tau x^n = 0$ ) we may set

$$c_n = s_1(1) s_2(1) \cdots s_n(1) c_0$$

with  $c_0 \neq 0$  arbitrary. Then  $\tau x^n = (c_n/c_{n-1}) x^{n-1} = t_{\mathbf{c}} x^n$ . Thus  $\tau = t_{\mathbf{c}}$ . We are now ready to characterize the columns of an L-table.

THEOREM 2.5. The sequence  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$  for some admissible sequence  $\mathbf{c}$  if and only if  $\deg p_n(x) = n$  and

$$p_n(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{d_n}{d_k d_{n-k}} p_k(x) y^k \langle L \mid p_{n-k}(xy) \rangle$$
 (2.1)

for all constants y. In other words,  $p_n(x)$  is in the **d**th column of the L-table if and only if it satisfies (2.1).

*Proof.* First assume  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$ . The Expansion Theorem gives

$$p_n(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{p_k(xy)}{c_k} \langle g_{L,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^k \mid p_n(xy) \rangle.$$

But

$$\langle g_{L,c}(t_c) t_c^k | p_n(xy) \rangle = \langle g_{L,c}(t_c) | t_c^k \sigma_y p_n(x) \rangle$$

$$= y^k \langle g_{L,c}(t_c) | \sigma_y t_c^k p_n(x) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{d_n}{d_{n-k}} y^k \langle L | \sigma_y p_{n-k}(x) \rangle$$

and the result follows. For the converse, suppose  $\deg p_n(x) = n$  and  $p_n(x)$ 

satisfies (2.1). Then let  $\tau$  be the linear operator defined by  $\tau p_n(x) = (d_n/d_{n-1}) p_{n-1}(x)$ . Now (2.1) can be written as

$$\sigma_{y} p_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{d_{n}}{d_{k} d_{n-k}} p_{n-k}(x) y^{n-k} \langle L \mid p_{k}(xy) \rangle$$

and applying  $\tau$  gives

$$\tau \sigma_{y} p_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{d_{n}}{d_{k} d_{n-k}} \frac{d_{n-k}}{d_{n-k-1}} p_{n-k-1}(x) y^{n-k} \langle L \mid p_{k}(xy) \rangle$$

$$= y \frac{d_{n}}{d_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{d_{n-1}}{d_{k} d_{n-1-k}} p_{n-1-k}(x) y^{n-1-k} \langle L \mid p_{k}(xy) \rangle$$

$$= y \frac{d_{n}}{d_{n-1}} \sigma_{y} p_{n-1}(x)$$

$$= y \sigma_{y} \tau p_{n}(x).$$

Thus  $\tau \sigma_y = y \sigma_y \tau$  and since  $\tau x^n \neq 0$  we deduce from Theorem 2.4 the existence of an admissible sequence **c** for which  $\tau = t_c$ . Thus  $t_c p_n(x) = (d_n/d_{n-1}) p_{n-1}(x)$ . Finally, setting y = 1 in (2.1) and comparing coefficients of  $p_k(x)$  on both sides gives  $\langle L \mid p_n(x) \rangle = d_0 \delta_{n,0}$ . Hence  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$  and the proof is complete.

Let us consider Andrews' q-theory in the present context. Comparing his Definition 1 in [3, p. 349] with (2.1) one sees that his theory is devoted to the dth column of the  $\varepsilon_1$  table where  $\varepsilon_1$  is evaluation at x=1 and  $d_n = (1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)/(1-q)^n$ . Incidentally, this explains why there is no transfer formula [8, Eq. (7.1)] in Andrews' q-theory, for this formula reduces to triviality when f(t) = t. Further, there is a recurrence formula for basic sequences, obtained directly from [8, Eq. (6.3)] but unfortunately it seems difficult to employ usefully in the present context.

# 3. Invariant Operators

Let us call a linear operator  $\tau$  invariant if

$$\tau \sigma_{\nu} = \sigma_{\nu} \tau$$

for all constants y. Andrews [3] used the term Eulerian shift-invariant. Some examples of invariant operators are:

- (1)  $\sigma_{\nu}$  and any linear combination of such operators,
- (2)  $xt_c$  and any polynomial in  $xt_c$ ,
- $(3) \quad x^k t_{\mathbf{c}}^k.$

With regard to the last example, we state without proof (which follows the lines of that for Theorem 2.4) the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\tau$  be invariant and suppose  $\tau x^n \neq 0$  for  $k \leq n$  but  $\tau x^n = 0$  for n < k. Then  $\tau = x^k t_c^k$  for some  $\mathbf{c}$ .

Invariant operators have their own Expansion Theorem. If L is a linear functional then by  $L_y$  we mean the linear operator acting on polynomials in x and y defined by

$$L_{v}x^{n}y^{m} = \langle L \mid x^{m} \rangle x^{n}.$$

We also define the operator  $\overline{L}$ , acting on polynomials in x, by

$$\overline{L}x^n = \langle L \mid x^n \rangle x^n.$$

Notice that  $\bar{L}x^n = L_y x^n y^n = L_y \sigma_y x^n$  and so  $\bar{L} = L_y \sigma_y$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\tau$  be invariant and suppose that  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, L)$ . Then

$$\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_k} \langle \varepsilon_1 \mid \tau p_k(x) \rangle x^k \bar{L} t_{\mathbf{c}}^k$$

where  $\varepsilon_1$  is evaluation at x = 1.

*Proof.* We first observe that  $\tau \sigma_y p_n(x) = \sigma_y \tau p_n(x)$  is symmetric in x and y and so, treating y as a variable, we have  $\tau \sigma_y p_n(x) = \tau_y (\sigma_x)_y p_n(y) = \tau_y p_n(xy)$ . Using Eq. (2.1) with x and y interchanged we have

$$\tau p_{n}(x) = \langle (\varepsilon_{1})_{y} \mid \sigma_{y} \tau p_{n}(x) \rangle 
= \langle (\varepsilon_{1})_{y} \mid \tau_{y} p_{n}(xy) \rangle 
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{d_{n}}{d_{k} d_{n-k}} \langle (\varepsilon_{1})_{y} \mid \tau_{y} p_{k}(y) \rangle x^{k} L_{y} p_{n-k}(xy) 
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{d_{n}}{d_{k} d_{n-k}} \langle \varepsilon_{1} \mid \tau p_{k}(x) \rangle x^{k} L_{y} \sigma_{y} p_{n-k}(x) 
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{k}} \langle \varepsilon_{1} \mid \tau p_{k}(x) \rangle x^{k} L_{y} \sigma_{y} t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} p_{n}(x).$$

The result follows.

Since  $\bar{L}$  is the identity when  $L = \varepsilon_1$  we obtain the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $\tau$  be invariant and suppose  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, \varepsilon_1)$ . Then

$$\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_k} \left\langle \varepsilon_1 \mid \tau p_k(x) \right\rangle x^k t_{\mathbf{c}}^k.$$

COROLLARY 3.4. If  $p_n(x)$  is basic for  $(t_c, \mathbf{d}, \varepsilon_1)$  then

$$\sigma_z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_k} p_k(z) x^k t_{\mathbf{c}}^k.$$

Before we leave this section we observe that

$$\langle \sigma_y^* t_c^k \mid x^n \rangle = \langle t_c^k \mid \sigma_y x^n \rangle$$
$$= y^n c_n \delta_{n,k}$$
$$= \langle (y t_c)^k \mid x^n \rangle$$

and so

$$\sigma_y^* f(t) = f(yt).$$

In other symbols.

$$\langle f(yt_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid p(xy) \rangle.$$

## 4. EXPONENTIAL-TYPE SERIES

In this section we shall consider the series

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{c_k} t^k.$$

This is the "exponential" series in the c-umbral calculus. We define the linear operator  $\partial_c$  acting on power series in t by

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}} t^k = \frac{c_k}{c_{k-1}} t^{k-1}.$$

Thus, for example,  $\langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} t_{\mathbf{d}}^{k} | x^{n} \rangle = (c_{k}/c_{k-1}) \langle t_{\mathbf{d}}^{k-1} | x^{n} \rangle = (c_{k}/c_{k-1}) d_{k-1} \delta_{k-1,n}$ . It is easy to see [take  $f(t) = t^{k}$  and  $p(x) = x^{n}$ ] that

$$\langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid xp(x) \rangle = \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid p(x) \rangle.$$

Also

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = y \in {}_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t).$$

Our next goal is to get some information about  $\partial_{\mathbf{d}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t)$ . One easily verifies the next lemma by setting  $p(x) = x^n$ .

LEMMA 4.1. 
$$\langle t_{\mathbf{c}} \partial_{\mathbf{d}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid p(x) \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_{1} \mid t_{\mathbf{d}} p(xy) \rangle$$
.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $s_n(x)$  be Sheffer for  $(\varepsilon_{v,c}(t_c), t_c)$ . Then

$$\partial_{\mathbf{d}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_{k+1}} \left\langle \varepsilon_1 \mid t_{\mathbf{d}} s_{k+1}(xy) \right\rangle t^k \right) \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t).$$

Proof. By the Expansion Theorem

$$t\partial_{\mathbf{d}}\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \left\langle t_{\mathbf{c}} \partial_{\mathbf{d}}\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid s_k(x) \right\rangle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) t^k$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \left\langle \varepsilon_1 \mid t_{\mathbf{d}}s_k(xy) \right\rangle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) t^k$$

from which the result follows.

If we take  $d_n = n!$  then  $t_d$  is the ordinary derivative D and Theorem 4.2 gives

$$D\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_{k+1}} \left\langle \varepsilon_1 \mid Ds_{k+1}(xy) \right\rangle t^k \right) \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t).$$

Solving this differential equation we have

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $s_n(x)$  be Sheffer for  $(\varepsilon_{y,c}(t_c), t_c)$ . Then

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kc_k} \langle \varepsilon_1 \mid Ds_k(xy) \rangle t^k.$$

A situation which seems to occur frequently is when two admissible sequences are related by

$$d_n = \frac{c_n}{\langle N \mid u_n(x) \rangle}$$

where  $u_n(x)$  is a sequence of polynomials and N is a linear functional for which  $\langle N | u_n(x) \rangle \neq 0$ . In this case

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{d_k} t^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle N \mid u_k(x) \rangle}{c_k} (yt)^k$$

$$\left\langle N \mid \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_k(x)}{c_k} (yt)^k \right\rangle$$

where the last equality is, in effect, a definition. This leads to the following result.

THEOREM 4.4. Let **c** be an admissible sequence,  $u_n(x)$  the basic sequence for  $(t_e, \mathbf{c}, M)$  and N a linear functional for which  $\langle N | u_n(x) \rangle \neq 0$ . Then if

$$d_n = \frac{c_n}{\langle N \mid u_n(x) \rangle}$$

we have

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \frac{\langle N \mid \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{c}}(yt) \rangle}{g_{M,\mathbf{e}}(yt)}.$$

Next we consider the problem of determining  $D_k(t)$  in the formal equation

$$\varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k(x)}{c_k} D_k(t)$$

where  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $(g(t_c), t_c)$ . Clearly  $D_k(t)$  exists and in fact

$$D_k(t) = \langle g(t_c) t_c^k | \varepsilon_{x,d}(t) \rangle$$

where t is a formal variable and the linear functional  $g(t_c)$   $t_c^k$  acts on the coefficients of the powers of t (which are polynomials in x).

Let us suppose that the operators  $t_c$  and  $t_d$  are related by

$$t_{\mathbf{c}} = u(\sigma_y) t_{\mathbf{d}}$$

where  $u(\sigma_y)$  is a linear combination of integral powers of  $\sigma_y$ . Since  $t_{\mathbf{d}}\sigma_y^n = y^n \sigma_y^n t_{\mathbf{d}}$  we have

$$t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} = u(\sigma_{y}) u(y\sigma_{y}) \cdots u(y^{k-1}\sigma_{y}) t_{\mathbf{d}}^{k}$$

Since  $t_{\mathbf{d}}^{k} \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) = t^{k} \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t)$  we get

$$D_k(t) \langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid t_{\mathbf{c}}^k \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) \rangle$$
  
=  $t^k \langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid u(\sigma_v) \cdots u(y^{k-1}\sigma_v) \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) \rangle.$ 

Now if

$$l(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(t_{c}) \mid x^{k} \rangle}{d_{k}} t^{k}$$

then  $\langle l(t_d) | p(x) \rangle = \langle g(t_c) | p(x) \rangle$  and so

$$D_k(t) = t^k \langle l(t_{\mathbf{d}}) \mid u(\sigma_y) \cdots u(y^{k-1} \sigma y) \, \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) \rangle$$
  
=  $t^k \langle u(\sigma_y^*) \cdots u(y^{k-1} \sigma_y^*) \, l(t_{\mathbf{d}}) \mid \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) \rangle$ .

Finally, since for any series f(t) we have  $\langle f(t_d) | \varepsilon_{x,d}(t) \rangle = f(t)$  we get

$$D_k(t) = t^k u(\sigma_y^*) \cdots u(y^{k-1}\sigma_y^*) l(t).$$

We have proved the following result.

THEOREM 4.5. Let c and d be admissible sequences such that

$$t_{\mathbf{c}} = u(\sigma_{v}) t_{\mathbf{d}}$$

where  $u(\sigma_y)$  is a finite linear combination of integral powers of  $\sigma_y$ . Then if  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $(g(t_c), t_c)$  we have

$$\varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k(x)}{c_k} t^k u(\sigma_y^*) \cdots u(y^{k-1}\sigma_y^*) l(t)$$

where

$$l(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid x^k \rangle}{d_k} t^k.$$

COROLLARY 4.6. Let **c** and **d** be as in Theorem 4.5 and suppose  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $(\varepsilon_{z,c}(t_c), t_c)$ . Then

$$\varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k(x)}{c_k} t^k u(\sigma_y^*) \cdots u(y^{k-1}\sigma_y^*) \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t).$$

# 5. THE q-CASE

Let us first set down the basic facts of the q-case. We set

$$c_n = \frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots((1-q^n)}{(1-q)^n}.$$

Then

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}} = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$$

and

$$\frac{c_n}{c_k c_{n-k}} = \binom{n}{k}_q$$

is the q-binomial coefficient.

The operator  $t_c$  is known as the q-derivative and we have

$$t_{\rm c} x^n = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} x^{n-1}$$

and so

$$t_{c} p(x) = \frac{p(x) - p(qx)}{(1-q) x}.$$

Thus if  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $t_c$  we immediately have the recurrence

$$s_n(x) - s_n(qx) = (1 - q^n) x s_{n-1}(x).$$
 (5.1)

The operator  $t_c$  is related to  $\sigma_q$  by

$$\sigma_q = 1 - (1 - q) x t_c$$
.

The operator  $\partial_{c}$  is given by

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}} f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1 - q)t} \tag{5.2}$$

and satisfies the Leibnitz formula (see [8] for an umbral proof)

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}}^{n} f(t) g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k}_{q} q^{k(k-n)} \partial_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} f(t) \partial_{\mathbf{c}}^{n-k} g(q^{k}t).$$

The q-exponential series

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} (yt)^k$$

satisfies  $\partial_{\mathbf{c}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = y \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t)$ , which using (5.2) is

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(qt) = (1 - (1 - q) yt) \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t).$$

We also note that

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(yt)$$

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}}\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t)^{-1} = -y\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(qt)^{-1}$$

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}}\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{c}}(t) = (y+z-(1-q)\ yzt) \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t) \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{c}}(t). \tag{5.3}$$

The q-binomial coefficients have important combinatorial significance [6, 7]. In [7] it is shown that when q is a prime power the number  $\binom{n}{k}_q$  is the number of vector subspaces of dimension k of a vector space of dimension n over the field GF[q]. Following [6] we set

$$G_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q,$$

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_q.$$

Then  $G_n$  is the number of subspaces of an *n*-dimensional vector space over GF[q].

Now we first observe that

$$\left\langle \varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \frac{t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k}}{c_{k}} \middle| x^{n} \right\rangle = {n \choose k}_{q}.$$

From this we obtain some of the simplest properties of  $\binom{n}{k}_a$ ,

$${n \choose k}_{q} = \left\langle \varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \frac{t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k}}{c_{k}} \middle| x^{n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{c_{k-1}}{c_{k}} \left\langle \varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \frac{t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k-1}}{c_{k-1}} \middle| t_{\mathbf{c}} x^{n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{c_{k-1}}{c_{k}} \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1}} {n-1 \choose k-1}_{q}$$

$$= \frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q^{k}} {n-1 \choose k-1}_{q}$$

and

Next, using (5.3) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} y^{k} z^{n-k} = \langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid x^{n} \rangle$$

$$= \langle (y+z-(1-q) yzt) \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid x^{n-1} \rangle$$

$$= (y+z) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}_{q} y^{k} z^{n-1-k}$$

$$- (1-q^{n-1}) yz \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k}_{q} y^{k} z^{n-2-k}.$$

If we take y = z = 1 we get the recurrence

$$G_n = 2G_{n-1} - (1 - q^{n-1}) G_{n-2}$$

and if we take y = -1 and z = 1 we get

$$A_n = (1 - q^{n-1}) A_{n-2}$$

Basic umbral techniques can be used to determine solutions to certain recurrence relations. For example, in [2] Al-Salam determines those sequences  $s_n(x)$ , Sheffer for  $t_c$ , which are orthogonal, that is, which satisfy the recurrence

$$s_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_n) s_n(x) - d_n s_{n-1}(x),$$
 (5.4)

 $s_0(x) = 1$ . After determining  $b_n$  and  $d_n$ , Al-Salam simply states the generating function of the solution. Let us give a complete, yet simple, umbral solution. Suppose  $s_n(x)$  satisfies (5.4) and is Sheffer for  $(g(t_c), t_c)$ . Applying the linear functional  $g(t_c)$   $t_c^*$  to (5.4) gives

$$\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | s_{n+1}(x) \rangle = \langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | (x - b_{n}) s_{n}(x) \rangle$$
$$-\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | d_{n} s_{n-1}(x) \rangle. \tag{5.5}$$

Then we observe that

$$\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | s_{n+1}(x) \rangle = c_{k} \delta_{k,n+1} = \left\langle \frac{c_{k}}{c_{k-1}} g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k-1} | s_{n}(x) \right\rangle,$$

$$\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | x s_{n}(x) \rangle = \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | s_{n}(x) \rangle$$

and

$$\langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | s_{n-1}(x) \rangle = c_{k} \delta_{k,n-1} = \left\langle \frac{c_{k}}{c_{k+1}} g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k+1} | s_{n}(x) \right\rangle.$$

Thus from (5.5) we get

$$\frac{c_k}{c_{k-1}}g(t)\,t^{k-1} = \partial_{\mathbf{c}}g(t)\,t^k - b_kg(t)\,t^k - \frac{c_k}{c_{k+1}}d_{k+1}\,g(t)\,t^{k+1}.$$

Using Leibniz' formula  $\partial_{\mathbf{c}} g(t) t^k = (c_k/c_{k-1}) g(t) t^{k-1} + q^k t^k \partial_{\mathbf{c}} g(t)$ , and rearranging gives

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}} g(t) = q^{-k} \left( b_k + \frac{c_k}{c_{k+1}} d_{k+1} t \right) g(t),$$

this for all  $k \ge 0$ . Therefore

$$b_k = q^k b_0$$

$$d_{k+1} = \frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k} q^k d_1$$

and

$$\partial_{\varepsilon} g(t) = (b_0 + d_1 t) g(t).$$

Recalling Eq. (5.3) we see that

$$g(t) = \varepsilon_{y,c}(t) \ \varepsilon_{z,c}(t)$$

where  $y + z = b_0$  and  $(1 - q) yz = d_1$ . The generating function for  $s_n(x)$  is thus  $\varepsilon_{x,c}(t)/\varepsilon_{y,c}(t) \varepsilon_{z,c}(t)$ .

In [2] Al-Salam also shows that those sequences Sheffer for  $t_c$  and orthogonal on the unit circle are characterized by satisfying the recurrence

$$s_{n+1}(x) = (x + \gamma + \beta q^n) s_n(x) - \gamma (1 - q^n) x s_{n-1}(x).$$
 (5.6)

An umbral solution to this recurrence is as follows. Using (5.1) in (5.6) we get

$$s_{n+1}(x) = (x + \beta q^n) s_n(x) + \gamma s_n(qx).$$

If  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $(g(t_c), t_c)$  then applying the linear functional  $g(t_c)$  gives

$$0 = \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid s_n(x) \rangle + \beta q^n \langle g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid s_n(x) \rangle + \gamma \langle g(qt_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid s_n(x) \rangle.$$

Now  $q^n \langle g(t_c) | s_n(x) \rangle = q^n c_n \delta_{n,0} = c_n \delta_{n,0} = \langle g(t_c) | s_n(x) \rangle$  and so, as before,

$$\partial_{\mathbf{c}} g(t) = -\beta q(t) - \gamma g(qt).$$

Using (5.2) we get

$$\frac{g(qt)}{g(t)} = \frac{1 + (1 - q) \beta t}{1 + (1 - q) \gamma t}.$$

Finally, recalling that  $\varepsilon_{v,c}(qt)/\varepsilon_{v,c}(t) = 1 - (1-q)yt$  we have

$$g(t) = \frac{\varepsilon_{-\beta,\mathbf{c}}(t)}{\varepsilon_{-\gamma,\mathbf{c}}(t)}$$

and the generating function for  $s_n(x)$  is  $\varepsilon_{-\gamma,\mathbf{e}}(t) \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{e}}(t)/\varepsilon_{-\beta,\mathbf{e}}(t)$ . Let us return to some examples of Sheffer sequences.

1. We write  $s_n(x) = [x]_{y,n}$  for the Sheffer sequence for  $(\varepsilon_{y,c}(t_c), t_c)$ . To determine  $[x]_{y,n}$  we first observe that  $[y]_{y,n+1} = \langle \varepsilon_{y,c}(t_c) \mid [x]_{y,n+1} \rangle = 0$  and so we may set

$$[x]_{y,n+1} = (x-y) r_n(x).$$

Now Leibniz' rule gives

$$c_{k+1}\delta_{n+1,k+1} = \langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k+1} | [x]_{y,n+1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k+1} | (x-y) r_n(x) \rangle$$

$$= \langle (\partial_{\mathbf{c}} - y) \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k+1} | r_n(x) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k} \langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(qt_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | r_n(x) \rangle$$

and since  $\varepsilon_{v,c}(qt) = \varepsilon_{qv,c}(t)$  we get

$$\langle \varepsilon_{av,s}(t_s) t_s^k | r_n(x) \rangle = c_k \delta_{n,k}.$$

Thus  $r_n(x) = [x]_{q,v,n}$  and so

$$[x]_{y,n+1} = (x-y)[x]_{qy,n}$$

leading to

$$[x]_{y,n} = (x-y)(x-qy)\cdots(x-q^{n-1}y).$$

The generating function for  $[x]_{\nu,n}$  is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[x]_{y,k}}{c_k} t^k = \frac{\varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{c}}(t)}{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t)}.$$

Setting x = 0 and noticing that

$$[0]_{y,k} = (-y)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{y,c}(t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-y)^k}{c_k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} t^k. \tag{5.7}$$

Equation (5.1) is the recurrence

$$[x]_{y,n} - [qx]_{y,n} = (1-q^n) x[x]_{y,n-1}.$$

Theorem 2.5 becomes

$$[xy]_{z,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k}_{q} [x]_{z,k} y^{k} [yz]_{z,n-k}.$$

The exponential  $\varepsilon_{y,c}(t)$  is related to infinite products. With regard to Theorem 4.3, setting y = 1, we get

$$\langle \varepsilon_1 \mid D[x]_k \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_1 \mid D(x-1) \cdots (x-q^{k-1}) \rangle$$
$$= (1-q) \cdots (1-q^{k-1})$$
$$= \frac{(1-q)^k}{1-q^k} c_k$$

and so, as Andrews [3] has observed,

$$\varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t) = \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^k} \frac{\left[ (1-q) t \right]^k}{k}$$

$$= \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{jk} \frac{\left[ (1-q) t \right]^k}{k}$$

$$= \exp \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[ q^j (1-q) t \right]^k}{k}$$

$$= \exp \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - (1-q) q^j t)^{-1}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - (1-q) q^j t}.$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t/(1-q)) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^{j}t}.$$

The above calculations can be justified on various grounds, for example, if |q| < 1 and |t| < 1/(1-q).

Combining this with the generating function for  $[x]_{y,n}$  we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (1 - q) \, q^j y^t}{1 - (1 - q) \, q^j x^t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[x]_{y,k}}{c_k} \, t^k.$$

Replacing t by t/(1-q) and using the notation of basic hypergeometric series,  $c_k(1-q)^k = (q;q)_k$  and  $[x]_{y,k} = x^k(y/x;q)_k$ , this becomes Heine's theorem [11, p. 92]

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{j} y'}{1 - q^{j} z'} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y/z; q)_{k}}{(q; q)_{k}} (xt)^{k}$$
$$= {}_{1} \phi_{0} [y/x; q; xt].$$

The Expansion Theorem gives

$$[x]_{y,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\langle t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | [x]_{y,n} \rangle}{c_{k}} x^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} \langle \varepsilon_{0,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) | [x]_{y,n-k} \rangle x^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} (-y)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} x^{k}$$

and

$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | x^{n} \rangle}{c_{k}} [x]_{y,k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} y^{n-k} [x]_{y,k}.$$

The Expansion Theorem also gives

$$x[x]_{y,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^k \mid x[x]_{y,n} \rangle}{c_k} [x]_{y,k}.$$

But

$$\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | x[x]_{y,n} \rangle = \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | [x]_{y,n} \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{c_{k}}{c_{k-1}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k-1} + (qt_{\mathbf{c}})^{k} y \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) | [x]_{y,n} \right\rangle$$

$$= c_{k} \delta_{n,k-1} + q^{k} y c_{k} \delta_{k,n}$$

and so

$$x[x]_{y,n} = [x]_{y,n+1} + q^n y[x]_{y,n}.$$

In the Expansion Theorem for invariant operators (Theorem 3.2) let us put  $p_n(x) = [x]_{1,n} = [x]_n$ . Then  $L = \varepsilon_1$  and

$$\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \langle \varepsilon_1 \mid \tau[x]_k \rangle x^k t_{\mathbf{c}}^k.$$

In particular, if  $\tau = \sigma_q^m$  then for  $k \ge m+1$  we have  $[q^m]_k = 0$  and for  $k \le m$  we have

$$[q^{m}]_{k} = (q^{m} - 1) \cdots (q^{m} - q^{k-1})$$

$$= (q - 1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{c_{m}}{c_{m-k}}$$

$$= \langle (q - 1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \varepsilon_{1, c}(t_{c}) t_{c}^{k} | x^{m} \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\sigma_q^m = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(q-1)^k}{c_k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \langle \varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t_\mathbf{c}) \ t_\mathbf{c}^k \mid x^m \rangle \ x^k t_\mathbf{c}^k.$$

For any polynomial p(x) we get

$$p(\sigma_q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q-1)^k}{c_k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \langle \varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{c}}(t_\mathbf{c}) t_\mathbf{c}^k \mid p(x) \rangle x^k t_\mathbf{c}^k.$$

In case  $p(x) = [x]_n$  we obtain

$$[\sigma_q]_n = (q-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} x^n t_c^n.$$
 (5.8)

We shall use this formula later.

2. The Sheffer sequence for  $(\varepsilon_{y,c}(t_c)^{-1}, t_c)$  is

$$H_n(x; y) = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) x^n$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_a y^{n-k} x^k.$$

The polynomials  $H_n(x; 1)$  are known as the q-Hermite polynomials. We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_k(x; y)}{c_k} t^k = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{c}}(t) \, \varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{c}}(t)$$

and for |q| < 1, |t| < 1/(1-q),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_k(x;y)}{c_k} t^k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-(1-q)\,q^jyt)(1-(1-q)\,q^jxt)}.$$

Equation (5.1) is

$$H_n(x; y) - H_n(qx; y) = (1 - q^n) x H_{n-1}(x; y).$$

The Expansion Theorem gives

$$xH_n(x;y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^k \mid xH_n(x;y) \rangle}{c_k} H_k(x;y).$$

But

$$\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}} | x H_n(x; y) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^k | H_n(x; y) \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{c_k}{c_{k-1}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k-1} - (qt)^k y \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(qt_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} | H_n(x; y) \right\rangle$$

$$= c_k \delta_{k,n+1} - y \langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^k | H_n(qx; y) \rangle$$

and so

$$xH_{n}(x;y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{c_{k}} \left( c_{k} \delta_{k,n+1} - y \left\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} H_{n}(qx;y) \right\rangle \right) H_{k}(x;y)$$

$$= H_{n+1}(x;y) - y \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{\left\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} \mid H_{n}(qx;y) \right\rangle}{c_{k}} H_{k}(x;y)$$

$$= H_{n+1}(x;y) - y H_{n}(qx;y).$$

Thus

$$H_{n+1}(x; y) = (x + y\sigma_q) H_n(x; y).$$

Also

$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | x^{n} \rangle}{c_{k}} H_{k}(x; y)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} \langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} | x^{n-k} \rangle H_{k}(x; y)$$

which, using (5.7), gives

$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k}_{q} (-y)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} H_{k}(x; y).$$

The Expansion Theorem also gives

$$H_n(x;z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \ t_{\mathbf{c}}^k \mid H_n(x;z) \rangle}{c_k} [x]_{y,k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q H_{n-k}(y;z) [x]_{y,k}.$$

Writing out  $H_n(x; z)$ , observing that  $H_{n-k}(y; z) = H_{n-k}(z; y)$  and setting y = 1 gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} z^{n-k} x^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} H_{n-k}(z;1) [x]_{k}.$$

Now in this equation we may replace x by the operator  $\sigma_q$  and since the resulting equation holds for all z, we may replace z by x giving

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} x^{n-k} \sigma_{q}^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} H_{n-k}(x; 1) [\sigma_{q}]_{k}.$$

According to (6.8)

$$[\sigma_q]_k H_m(x;1) = (q-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{c_m}{c_{m-k}} x^k H_{m-k}(x;1)$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} x^{n-k} \sigma_{q}^{k} H_{m}(x; 1)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} \binom{m}{k}_{q} c_{k} (q-1)^{k} x^{k} H_{n-k}(x; 1) H_{m-k}(x; 1).$$

It remains to evaluate the left side of this equation. For n = 1 we get

$$(x + \sigma_q) H_m(x; 1) = H_{m+1}(x; 1)$$

and for n = 2, observing that  $\sigma_a x = qx\sigma_a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \binom{2}{0}_{q} x^{2} + \binom{2}{1}_{q} x \sigma_{q} + \binom{2}{2}_{q} \sigma_{q}^{2} \right] H_{m}(x; 1) \\ & = (x^{2} + (1+q) x \sigma_{q} + \sigma_{q}^{2}) H_{m}(x; 1) \\ & = (x^{2} + x \sigma_{q} + \sigma_{q} x + \sigma_{q}^{2}) H_{m}(x; 1) \\ & = (x + \sigma_{q})^{2} H_{m}(x; 1) \\ & = H_{m+2}(x; 1). \end{aligned}$$

One easily sees, by induction, that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} x^{n-k} \sigma_{q}^{k} = (x + \sigma_{q})^{n}$$

and so we finally have

$$H_{m+n}(x;1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{q} \binom{m}{k}_{q} c_{k}(q-1)^{k} x^{k} H_{n-k}(x;1) H_{m-k}(x;1),$$

a result first established by Carlitz [5].

## 6. A Related Umbral Calculus

In this section we shall consider the umbral calculus defined by setting

$$d_n = \frac{c_n}{(-\alpha)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}} = \frac{c_n}{\langle \varepsilon_0 \mid [x]_{\alpha,n} \rangle}$$

for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$$\frac{d_n}{d_{n-1}} = \frac{q^{1-n}}{-\alpha} \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}}$$

and

$$\frac{d_n}{d_k d_{n-k}} = q^{k(k-n)} \binom{n}{k}_q.$$

The operator  $t_d$  satisfies

$$t_{\mathbf{d}} x^{n} = \frac{q^{1-n}}{-\alpha} t_{\mathbf{c}} x^{n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{-\alpha} \sigma_{q^{-1}} t_{\mathbf{c}} x^{n}$$

and so

$$t_{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1}{-\alpha} \, \sigma_{q^{-1}} t_{\mathbf{c}}$$

and

$$t_{\mathbf{d}} p(x) = \frac{q}{-\alpha} \frac{p(x/q) - p(x)}{x - qx}.$$

If  $s_n(x)$  is Sheffer for  $t_d$  we have

$$s_n(x/q) - s_n(x) = (q^{-n} - 1) x s_{n-1}(x)$$
.

It is easy to see that

$$\sigma_{q^{-1}} = 1 + (1 - q^{-1}) \alpha x t_d$$

The operator  $\partial_d$  satisfies a Leibniz-type formula

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\mathbf{d}} f(t) \ g(t) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sigma_{q^{-1}}^* \partial_{\mathbf{c}} f(t) \ g(t) \\ &= f(t/q) \partial_{\mathbf{d}} g(t) + q(t) \ \partial_{\mathbf{d}} f(t). \end{split}$$

The exponential series  $\varepsilon_{\nu,\mathbf{d}}(t)$  satisfies

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t/q) &= (1 + (1 - q^{-1}) \, \alpha y t) \, \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t), \\ \partial_{\mathbf{d}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t)^{-1} &= -y \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t/q)^{-1} \end{split}$$

and

$$\partial_{\mathbf{d}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t) \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t) = (y+z+(1-q^{-1}) \, \alpha yzt) \, \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t) \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t).$$

Theorem 4.4 shows that

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \frac{\langle \varepsilon_0 \mid \varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{c}}(yt) \rangle}{\varepsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{c}}(yt)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{c}}(yt)}.$$

For |q| < 1 and |t| < 1/(1-q) we have

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - (1-q) q^{j} \alpha y t).$$

The connection between **d** and **c** can be seen for  $\alpha = -1$  by observing that if q is replaced by  $q^{-1}$  in  $d_n$  one obtains  $c_n$ .

Results similar to those of Section 5 may be obtained here in a completely analogous manner. For example, the numbers

$$G'_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k-n)} \binom{n}{k}_q$$

satisfy the recurrence

$$G'_n = 2G'_{n-1} - (1 - q^{-(n-1)}) G'_{n-2}$$

A solution to the recurrence

$$s_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_n) s_n(x) - e_n s_{n-1}(x),$$

 $s_0(x) = 1$ , Sheffer for  $t_d$ , occurs when

$$b_n = q^{-n}b_0,$$
  
 $e_{n+1} = -\frac{d_{n+1}}{d_n}q^{-n}\alpha e_1$ 

in which case the solution is Sheffer for  $(g(t_d), t_d)$  where

$$g(t) = \varepsilon_{v,\mathbf{d}}(t) \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t)$$

with  $y + z = b_0$  and  $(q^{-1} - 1) yz = e_1$ .

The main reason for introducing the present umbral calculus is not so much for the above results but rather for results obtained by relating this umbral calculus to the q-umbral calculus (which are to follow).

Let us turn next to Sheffer sequences.

3. The Sheffer sequence for  $(\varepsilon_{v,d}(t_d), t_d)$  is

$$s_n(x; y) = \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{d}}(t_{\mathbf{d}})^{-1} x^n$$

$$= \varepsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{c}}(yt_{\mathbf{d}}) x^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\alpha y)^k}{c_k} t_{\mathbf{d}}^k x^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_n}{c_k d_{n-k}} (\alpha y)^k x^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-y)^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{n}{2}} x^k.$$

In a manner similar to that of Example 1 we see that

$$s_n(x; y) = (x - y)(x - q^{-1}y) \cdots (x - q^{-(n-1)}y).$$

The generating function for  $s_n(x; y)$  is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{s_{k}(x; y)}{d_{k}} t^{k} = \frac{\varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{d}}(t)}{\varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{d}}(t)}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{c}}(yt)}{\varepsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{c}}(xt)}.$$

For |q| < 1 and |t| < 1/(1-q)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{s_k(x; y)}{d_k} t^k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (1 - q) \, q^j \alpha xt}{1 - (1 - q) \, q^j \alpha yt}.$$

4. The Sheffer sequence for  $(\varepsilon_{v,d}(t_d)^{-1}, t_d)$  is

$$G_n(x; y) = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{d}}(t_{\mathbf{d}}) x^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_n q^{k(k-n)} y^{n-k} x^k.$$

These polynomials were introduced by Carlitz [5] for y = 1. The generating function is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{G_k(x; y)}{d_k} t^k = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{d}}(t) \, \varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{d}}(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{d}}(yt) \, \varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{d}}(xt)}$$

and for |q| < 1, |t| < 1/(1-q)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{G_k(x;y)}{d_k} t^k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - (1-q) q^j \alpha y t) (1 - (1-q) q^j x t).$$

As in the q-Hermite case we find that

$$G_{n+1}(x; y) = (x + y\sigma_{q^{-1}}) G_n(x; y).$$

Now that we have two distinct umbral calculi we may consider Corollary 4.6. Since  $t_c = -\alpha \sigma_q t_d$  we have y = q and  $u(\sigma_q) = -\alpha \sigma_q$ . Thus

$$u(\sigma_q^*) \cdots u(q^{k-1}\sigma_q^*) \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t) = (-\alpha)^k \, q^{\binom{k}{2}} \, \sigma_q^* k \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t)$$
$$= (-\alpha)^k \, q^{\binom{k}{2}} \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(q^k t)$$

and Corollary 4.6 becomes

$$\varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{d}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)^k}{c_k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} [x]_{z,k} t^k \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(q^k t).$$

Now we divide both sides by  $\varepsilon_{z,d}(t)$  and observe that for |q| < 1, |t| < 1/(1-q),

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(q^k t)}{\varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{d}}(t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (1-q) q^{j+k} \alpha z t}{1 - (1+q) q^{j} \alpha z t}$$
$$= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1 - (1-q) q^{j} \alpha z t}$$

and so

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (1 - q) \, q^{j} \alpha x t}{1 - (1 - q) \, q^{j} \alpha z t}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)^{k}}{c_{k}} \, q^{\binom{k}{2}} [x]_{z,k} \, t^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1 - (1 - q) \, q^{j} \alpha z t}.$$

Replacing t by t/(1-q) and setting  $\alpha = 1$  gives

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{j}xt}{1 - q^{j}zt} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/x; q)_{k}}{(z; q)_{k}} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k}} (-xt)^{k}.$$

## 7. Another Umbral Calculi

Let us take

$$e_n = \frac{c_n}{[a]_{\alpha,n}} = \frac{c_n}{\langle \varepsilon_a \mid [x]_{\alpha kn} \rangle}$$

where  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \neq \alpha$ . Then

$$\frac{e_n}{e_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{a - \alpha q^{n-1}} \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}},$$

$$\frac{e_n}{e_k e_{n-k}} = \frac{[a]_{\alpha,k} [a]_{\alpha,n-k}}{[a]_{\alpha,n}} \binom{n}{k}_q.$$

In the notation of basic hypergeometric series  $[a]_{\alpha,n} = a^n(\alpha/a;q)_n$  and so

$$e_n = \frac{c_n}{a^n(\alpha/a;q)_n}$$

and

$$\frac{e_n}{e_n e_{n-k}} = \frac{(\alpha/a; q)_k (\alpha/a; q)_{n-k}}{(\alpha/a; q)_n} \binom{n}{k}_q.$$

Observing that

$$(a - \alpha \sigma_q) t_e x^n = \frac{e_n}{e_{n-1}} (a - \alpha \sigma^{n-1}) x^{n-1}$$
$$= t_e x^n$$

we have

$$t_{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{1}{a - \alpha \sigma_a} t_{\mathbf{e}}.$$

According to Theorem 4.4

$$\varepsilon_{y,e}(t) = \frac{\langle \varepsilon_a \mid \varepsilon_{x,e}(yt) \rangle}{\varepsilon_{\alpha,e}(yt)}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{a,e}(yt)}{\varepsilon_{\alpha,e}(yt)}.$$

For |q| < 1 and |t| < 1/(1-q)

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{e}}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (1-q) \, q^j \alpha yt}{1 - (1-q) \, q^j a yt}.$$

We also remark that

$$\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{e}}(qt) = \frac{\varepsilon_{ay,\mathbf{e}}(qt)}{\varepsilon_{\alpha y,\mathbf{e}}(qt)}$$
$$= \frac{1 - (1 - q) \ ayt}{1 - (1 - q) \ \alpha yt} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{e}}(t).$$

Let us have more Sheffer sequences.

5. The Sheffer sequence for  $(\varepsilon_{v,e}(t_e), t_e)$  is

$$r_{n}(x; y) = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{e}}(t_{\mathbf{e}})^{-1} x^{n}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{e}}(yt_{\mathbf{e}})}{\varepsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{e}}(yt_{\mathbf{e}})} x^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha]_{a,k}}{c_{k}} y^{k} t_{\mathbf{e}}^{k} x^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{e_{n}}{c_{k} e_{n-k}} [\alpha]_{a,k} y^{k} x^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_{a} \frac{[\alpha]_{a,n-k} [a]_{\alpha,k}}{[a]_{\alpha,n}} y^{n-k} x^{k}.$$

The generating function is (cf. [3, p. 365, Eq. 9.4])

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_k(x; y)}{e_k} t^k = \frac{\varepsilon_{x, e}(t)}{\varepsilon_{y, e}(t)}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{a, c}(xt) \varepsilon_{\alpha, c}(yt)}{\varepsilon_{\alpha, c}(xt) \varepsilon_{a, c}(yt)}.$$

For |q| < 1, |t| < 1/(1-q),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_k(x;y)}{e_k} t^k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-(1-q) \, q^j a y t)(1-(1-q) \, q^j \alpha x t)}{(1-(1-q) \, q^j y t)(1-(1-q) \, q^j a x t)}.$$

Theorem 2.5 is

$$r_n(xy;z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{e_n}{e_k e_{n-k}} r_k(x;z) y^k r_{n-k}(yz;z).$$

We also have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_k(x; y)}{e_k} t^k = \frac{\varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{c}}(at) \, \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{c}}(\alpha t)}{\varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{c}}(at) \, \varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{c}}(\alpha t)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[x]_{y, j}}{c_j} (at)^j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{[y]_{x, i}}{c_i} (\alpha t)^i$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{j}_a \frac{a^j \alpha^{k-j}}{[a]_{\alpha, k}} [x]_{y, j} [y]_{x, k-j}\right) \frac{t^k}{e_k}$$

and so

$$r_{k}(x; y) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k \choose j}_{q} \frac{a^{j} \alpha^{k-j}}{[a]_{x,k}} [x]_{y,j} [y]_{x,k-j}.$$

6. The Sheffer sequence for  $(\varepsilon_{y,e}(t_e)^{-1}, t_e)$  is

$$u_n(x; y) = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{e}}(t_{\mathbf{e}}) x^n$$
$$= \sum_{e_k} \frac{e_n}{e_k e_{n-k}} y^{n-k} x^k.$$

The generating function is

$$\sum \frac{u_k(x; y)}{e_k} t^k = \varepsilon_{y, \mathbf{e}}(t) \, \varepsilon_{x, \mathbf{e}}(t)$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{a, \mathbf{e}}(yt)}{\varepsilon_{a, \mathbf{e}}(yt)} \frac{\varepsilon_{a, \mathbf{e}}(xt)}{\varepsilon_{a, \mathbf{e}}(xt)}.$$

Next let us consider Corollary 4.6. Here we have  $t_c = (a - \alpha \sigma_q) t_e$  and so  $u(\sigma_q) = a - \alpha \sigma_q$ . Thus

$$u(\sigma_q^*)\cdots u(q^{k-1}\sigma_q^*)\,\varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t) = (a-\alpha\sigma_q^*)\cdots (a-\alpha_q^{k-1}\sigma_q^*)\,\varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t).$$

For practice we take k = 1,

$$(a - \alpha \sigma_q^*) \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t) a \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t) - \alpha \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(qt)$$

$$= \left[ a - \alpha \, \frac{1 - (1 - q) \, azt}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha zt} \right] \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t)$$

$$= \frac{a - \alpha}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha zt} \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t).$$

For k = 2 we have

$$(a - \alpha \sigma_q^*)(a - \alpha q \sigma_q^*) \, \varepsilon_{z,e}(t)$$

$$= (a - \alpha q \varepsilon_q^*) \frac{a - \alpha}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z t} \, \varepsilon_{z,e}(t)$$

$$= \left[ a \frac{a - \alpha}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z t} \right.$$

$$- \alpha q \frac{a - \alpha}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z q t} \frac{1 - (1 - q) \, a z t}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z t} \right] \varepsilon_{z,e}(t)$$

$$= \frac{a - \alpha}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z t} \frac{a - \alpha q}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z q t} \, \varepsilon_{z,e}(t).$$

From here it is an easy matter to see (by induction) that

$$(a - \alpha \sigma_q^*) \cdots (a - \alpha q^{k-1} \sigma_q^*) \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t)$$

$$= \frac{(a - \alpha) \cdots (a - \alpha q^{k-1})}{(1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z t) \cdots (1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z q^{k-1}(t))} \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t)$$

$$= [a]_{\alpha,k} \, \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1 - (1 - q) \, \alpha z q^i t}.$$

Thus Corollary 4.6 becomes

$$\varepsilon_{x,\mathbf{e}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[a]_{\alpha,k}}{c_k} [x]_{z,k} t^k \left[ \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1 - (1-q) \alpha z q^j t} \right] \varepsilon_{z,\mathbf{e}}(t).$$

Dividing both sides by  $\varepsilon_{z,e}(t)$  and replacing t by t/(1-q) gives

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{j}azt)(1-q^{j}\alpha xt)}{(1-q^{j}\alpha zt)(1-q^{j}axt)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha/a;q)_{k}(z/x;q)_{k}}{(\alpha zt;q)_{k}(q;q)_{k}} (axt)^{k}$$

$$= {}_{2}\phi_{1}(\alpha/a;z/x;\alpha zt,q;axt).$$

This is the basic analog of Gauss' theorem [11, p. 97].

#### 8. Some Additional Expansions

In this section we shall very briefly consider some expansions, including Carlitz q-analog of the Lagrange inversion theorem [4]. A more thorough discussion must await a sequel to this paper. Let  $c_n = (1-q) \cdots (1-q^n)/(1-q)^n$  be as in Section 5.

Recalling the generating function for  $[x]_{y,n}$  we begin by observing that

$$\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-n}t_{\mathbf{c}}) t_{\mathbf{c}}^{n} | \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k+1}t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} x^{k} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{c_{k}}{c_{k-n}} \left\langle \frac{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-n}t_{\mathbf{c}})}{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k+1}t_{\mathbf{c}})} | x^{k-n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{c_{k}}{c_{k-n}} \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[yq^{-n}]_{yq^{-k+1},j}}{c_{j}} \langle t_{\mathbf{c}}^{j} | x^{k-n} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{c_{k}}{c_{k-n}} [yq^{-n}]_{yq^{-k+1},k-n}$$

$$= c_{n} \delta_{n,k}. \tag{8.1}$$

From this we obtain the expansion

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k+1}t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} x^k \rangle}{c_k} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k}t) t^k$$
 (8.2)

valid for all series f(t), since it holds  $f(t) = \varepsilon_{y,c}(q^{-n}t) t^n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Variations on this expansion are possible since for any invertible g(t) we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \, \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k+1}t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} \, x^k \rangle}{c_k} \, g(t)^{-1} \, \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k}t) \, t^k.$$

Also, from (5.7) we get

$$\varepsilon_{v,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k+1}t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} x^{k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-yq^{-k+1})}{c_{j}} q^{\binom{j}{2}} t_{\mathbf{c}}^{j} x^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j}_{q} (-yq^{-k+1})^{j} q^{\binom{j}{2}} x^{k-j}$$

and so (8.2) can be written

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j}_q (-yq^{-k+1})^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid x^{k-j} \rangle \right] \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k}t) t^k.$$

As a simple example, if we set  $f(t) = \varepsilon_{0,c}(t) = 1$  then we get

$$1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-y)^k}{c_k} q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{-k}t) t^k.$$

Setting y = 1/(1-q), multiplying by  $\varepsilon_{y,c}(t)^{-1}$  and observing that  $\varepsilon_{y,c}(q^{-k}t)/\varepsilon_{y,c}(t) = 1/(1-(1-q)tq^{-k})\cdots(1-(1-q)tq^{-1})$  we have (after replacing t by t/(1-q)),

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - tq^{j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{c_{k}(1-q)^{k}} q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \frac{t^{k}}{(1 - tq^{-k}) \cdots (1 - tq^{-1})}.$$

In Slater's [11] notation this is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - tq^{i}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \frac{t^{k}}{(q^{-k}t; q)_{k}(q; q)_{k}}.$$

Incidentally, in the terminology of [9] the sequence  $s_k(x) = \varepsilon_{y,c}(q^{-k+1}t_c)^{-1}x^k$  is known as a decentralized Sheffer sequence. Moreover, Theorem 4.4 of [9] gives a formula which shows that if  $\langle \varepsilon_{y,c}(q^{-n}t_c) t_c^n | s_k(x) \rangle = c_n \delta_{n,k}$  then  $s_k(x) = \varepsilon_{y,c}(q^{-k+1}t_c)^{-1}x^k$ . Thus the sequence  $s_k(x)$  was not obtained by sheer guesswork. But since we assume no familiarity with [9] we have given the derivation (8.1) above.

In a similar way we may verify that

$$\langle \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^n t_{\mathbf{c}})^{-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}^n \mid \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{k-1} t_{\mathbf{c}}) x^k \rangle = c_n \delta_{n,k}.$$

Thus for any f(t) and invertible g(t),

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid g(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \, \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{k-1}t_{\mathbf{c}}) \, x^k \rangle}{c_k} \, g(t)^{-1} \, \varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^k t)^{-1} \, t^k. \quad (8.3)$$

As an example, let us take  $g(t) = \varepsilon_{y,c}(t)^{-1}$ . Then

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^m t)}{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - (1-q) q^j y t)$$
$$= ((1-q) y t; q)_m$$

and taking y = 1/(1-q) gives

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f(t_{\mathbf{c}}) \mid (t;q)_{k-1} x^k \rangle}{c_k} \frac{t^k}{(t;q)_k}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^{k-1}t_{\mathbf{c}})}{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})}x^k = x\frac{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(q^kt_{\mathbf{c}})}{\varepsilon_{y,\mathbf{c}}(t_{\mathbf{c}})}x^{k-1}.$$

Thus for  $g(t) = \varepsilon_{v,c}(t)^{-1}$  Eq. (8.3) is

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f(t_{c}) \mid x(t_{c}; q)_{k} x^{k-1} \rangle}{c_{k}} \frac{t^{k}}{(t; q)_{k}}.$$
 (8.4)

This is Eq. (1.11) of [4], which is Carlitz' q-analog of a special case of the Lagrange inversion formula. To see this we note that

$$\langle f(t_c) \mid x(t_c; q)_k x^{k-1} \rangle = \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}} f(t_c) \mid (t_c; q)_k x^{k-1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle (t_c; q)_k \partial_{\mathbf{c}} f(t_c) \mid x^{k-1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \partial_{\mathbf{c}}^{k-1} [(t_c; q)_k \partial_{\mathbf{c}} f(t_c)] \mid x^0 \rangle.$$

In the notation of [4] this is  $(1-q)^{-k} \Delta_0^{k-1}[(t)_k \Delta f(t)]$  and since  $c_k = (q)_k (1-q)^k$  we get (1.11). Similar considerations would lead to other q-expansions, such as (3.14) of [4].

## REFERENCES

- W. R. Allaway, Some properties of the q-Hermite polynomials, Canad. J. Math. 32 (1980), 686-694.
- 2. W. Al-Salam, q-Appell polynomials, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 77 (1967), 31-46.
- 3. G. Andrews, On the foundations of combinatorial theory. V. Eulerian differential operators, in "Studies in Applied Mathematics, Vol. L, No. 4," pp. 345-375, 1971.
- 4. L. CARLITZ, Some q-expansion formulas, Glas. Mat. 8, No. (28) (1973), 205-214.
- L. CARLITZ, Some polynomials related to theta functions, Duke J. Math. 24 (1957), 521–527.
- 6. J. GOLDMAN AND G.-C. ROTA, The number of subspaces of a vector space, in "Recent Progress in Combinatorics," Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- . J. GOLDMAN AND G.-C. ROTA, On the foundations of combinatorial theory. IV. Finite vector spaces and Eulerian generating functions, in "Studies in Applied Mathematics, Vol. XLIX, No.3," pp. 239-257, 1970.
- 8. S. ROMAN, The theory of the umbral calculus, I, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 87 (1982), 57-115.
- 9. S. ROMAN, The theory of the umbral calculus, II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 89 (1982), 290-314.
- S. ROMAN, The theory of the umbral calculus, III, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 95 (1983), 528-563.
- L. SLATER, "Generalized Hypergeometric Functions," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.

Additional references—this list is by no means meant to be complete.

- W. R. Allaway, A comparison of two umbral algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 85 (1982), 197-235.
- W. R. Allaway and K. W. Yuen, Ring isomorphisms for the family of Eulerian differential operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 77 (1980), 245-263.

- A. M. Chak, An expension of a class of polynomials, I, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) 12 (1971), 47-55.
- A. M. CHAK, An extension of a class of polynomials, II, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2 (1971), 352-355.
- A. M. CHAK, An extension of a class of polynomials, III, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (3) 2 (1973), 11-18.
- E. C. IHRIG AND M. E. H. ISMAIL, A q-numbral calculus, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 84 (1981), 89-93.
- D. L. REINER, Eulerian binomial type revisited, Stud. Appl. Math. 64 (1981), 89-93.
- S. ROMAN, The algebra of formal series, Adv. in Math. 31 (1979), 309-329. Erratum 35 (1980), 274.
- S. ROMAN, The algebra of formal series. II. Sheffer sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 74 (1980), 120-143.
- S. ROMAN, The algebra of formal series, III, J. Approx. Theory 26 (1979), 340-381.
- S. ROMAN AND G.-C. ROTA, The umbral calculus, Adv. in Math. 27 (1978), 95-188.
- G.-C. ROTA, D. KAHARER, AND A. ODLYZKO, Finite operator calculus, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973), 685-760.
- A. SHARMA AND A. M. CHAK, The basic analog of a class of polynomials, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* 5 (1954), 325–337.
- M. WARD, A calculus of sequences, Amer. J. Math. 58 (1936), 255-266.